UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,728	01/30/2004	Michael Shenfield	T8467911US	6100
	7590 09/24/200 .FLEUR HENDERSOI	EXAMINER		
	FIRST CANADIAN F	HOANG, PHUONG N		
100 KING STREET WEST TORONTO, ON M5X 1G5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA			2194	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/767,728	SHENFIELD ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PHUONG N. HOANG	2194	

	PHUONG N. HOANG	2194	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better the content of the proposed forms.	sideration and/or search (see NO¯ v);	ΓE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			,
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allenged non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 - 42. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	kplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Hyung S. Sough/	/P. N. H./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2194 09/23/09	Examiner, Art Unit 2194		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

1. Applicant argued that examiner cited interface module with API layer 102 which does not teach retrieving published access information (page 4).

In response, it appears that applicant misunderstood the examiner's mappings. Interface module is the message layer 104 that received and retrieved xml representation of an object that is a publish access information (col. 11 lines 25 - 50).

- 2. Applicant argued that Slaughter does not disclose "obtaining an interface component target application" (page 4). In response, the interface component is the API layer 102 for communicating between the interface module which is message layer and target application which is the applicant the message layer 104 sending the xml object representation as a message (col. 11 lines 25 40).
- 3. Applicant argued that "employing the interface component by the interface module is not inherent. In response, the API layer 102 sits on top of the message layer 104 which uses the API layer 102 for communication. Here, the message layer 104 employees the API layer 102 when calling the API layer 102 to send message (col. 11 lines 30 32). It is also inherent when the message layer 104 uses the API layer 102; otherwise, how can the API layer communicates/sends/receives requests.